



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4451 OF 2025

Vyankat Pandurang Jature

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

Mr. D. R. Kale and Mr. A. K. Jaiswal, advocates for the petitioner
Ms. S. S. Joshi, AGP for Respondents State

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

Dated : 24th April, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Head both sides.
2. Motion is made for speaking to minutes of the order dated 03.04.2025.
3. Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not employee of minority institute, albeit, such statement is appearing in paragraphs 1 and 3 of the order. The learned AGP also fairly concedes, after going through the record, that irrespective of status of the institution, the issue regarding mandatory nature of TET is already subjudice before the Supreme Court and fate of the matter would not alter in spite of carrying out correction as is being requested for.
4. In the light of above, the following words in Paragraph nos. 1 and 3 shall be deleted.

1. “and it is minority institute”
3. “particularly, to the minority institutes”
5. Motion is disposed off. Corrected order be uploaded.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

JPChavan