



drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.601 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 5407 OF 2015

Umesh Mohan Kumawat

PETITIONER

VERSUS

V. Giriraj and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. R. R. Suryawanshi, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. N. D. Batule, AGP for Respondent - State
Mr. R. D. Biradar h/f Mr. S. T. Shelke, Advocate for Respondent
No.5

.....
[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, &
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J. J.]

DATE : 14th NOVEMBER, 2025

ORDER :

1. By giving appointment order to the Petitioner on 20th March, 2016, pursuant to the directions of this Court, the Respondents have complied with the orders.
2. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner contends that in terms of the consequential benefits, the Petitioner should have been given deemed date of appointment, at an earlier point of time. This argument is misconceived and cannot be accepted in the facts of the of the present case.

3. In view of compliance of the directions, the Contempt Petition is disposed of.

[VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV]
JUDGE

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE

drp/cp601-17.doc