



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

999 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1110 OF 2025
IN CRIMINAL APEAL NO. 202/2025
WITH
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 202 OF 2025

SHIVNANDAN @ DADU SHALIK PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Pawar Hemantkumar F.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. D.J. Patil
Advocate for Respondent 2 : Mr. P.N. Pimpalgaonkar
...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.
Dated : July 02, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant/appellant, the learned APP for respondent/State and Mr. P.N. Pimpalgaonkar, learned advocate for respondent No. 2.
2. The learned counsel for the applicant/appellant submits that the applicant/appellant is convicted for the offences punishable under section 376 of I.P.C. and maximum sentence of 10 years rigorous imprisonment is imposed by the Trial Court. It is also directed that all the sentences to run concurrently.
3. The learned counsel submits that the applicant is in jail since four years and eight months and has undergone four and half years of sentence. The learned counsel submits that appeal may take considerable time to conclude and if the applicant is kept in jail, the purpose of filing appeal will be frustrated. The learned counsel, therefore, prays to release the applicant/appellant on bail.

4. Considering the above submissions, the substantive sentence imposed on the applicant is suspended. The applicant be released on bail on such terms and conditions as the trial court may deem fit. The application filed for suspension of sentence is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

5. Appeal is **admitted**. After admission, the learned APP waives service of notice for respondent/State.

6. Call R. & P.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.)

ssc/