



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

21 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2248 OF 2025

IN FAST/3525/2025

RUKIYABEE KARIMSAB PATHAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2246 OF 2025

IN FAST/3479/2025

AND

22 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3688 OF 2025

IN FAST/9574/2025

MUBARAK LALA PATEL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH COLLECTOR
DIST. LATUR AND ANR

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3686 OF 2025

IN FAST/9576/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3684 OF

2025 IN FAST/9578/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO.

3685 OF 2025 IN FAST/9581/2025

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Sharad Shankarappa Halkude.

AGPs for Respondent/s-State : Mr. S. V. Hange. Mrs. M. N.
Ghanekar, respectively.

...

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.

DATE : 04.12.2025

PER COURT :-

1. Heard both sides.
2. Applicants seek to condone delay of 3523 and 3572 days in their respective appeals for the reasons stated in the applications.

3. Learned AGPs oppose the applications.
4. The averments of the applications have not been controverted. I find no reasons to infer any malafides on the part of the applicants in preferring appeals belatedly. The delay stands condoned on condition that applicants shall not be entitled to the interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period.
5. Civil applications for delay are allowed.

First Appeals

6. **Admit.**
7. Learned AGPs waive service of notice for respondent-State.
8. Call for Record and Proceedings from the concerned Court if not called for.
9. Print is dispensed with.
10. The applicants shall not be entitled to the interest and statutory benefits for the delayed period.

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

...