



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

49 WRIT PETITION NO. 4256 OF 2025

**SAVITA SANTOSH LODHA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

**AND
52 WRIT PETITION NO. 4279 OF 2025**

**SAVITA SANTOSH LODHA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

....
Mr. I. K. Wagh, Advocate for the Petitioners
Ms S. S. Joshi, AGP for the Respondent – State
....

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 01.04.2025

PER COURT :-

. Heard both the sides.

2. Though the prayer clause (C) is not articulated properly, inasmuch as it seeks a direction for implementation of the order passed by the civil court whereby a direction has been

issued to the Collector to make a reference under Section 64 and 76 of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, such a direction would be *void ab-initio*, being an error outside the jurisdiction.

3. This is a matter merely of resorting to the relevant provisions of the 2013 Act, for which the petitioners have already submitted representation/application to the respondent Collector on 21.02.2025.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 to take appropriate steps in the light of the application submitted by the petitioners dated 21.02.2025, as expeditiously as possible.

[Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

SMS