



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

34 WRIT PETITION NO.4284 OF 2025

**VINAYA MANMATH BETALE & ANOTHER
VERSUS
NARAYAN RAMANNA KANDAMWAR & OTHERS**

...

Advocate for the petitioners : Mr.M.P.Kale
AGP for Respondent-State : Mr.V.K.Kotecha
Advocate for Respondent no.1 : Mr.A.S.Radikar

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 03.11.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, so also, the learned counsel for the respondents.

2] The application for intervention was filed by the petitioners before the Deputy Charity Commissioner, Nanded Region, Nanded and the said application was opposed by the respondent nos.1 and 2 jointly. However, by order dated 16.01.2025, the Deputy Charity Commissioner, Nanded Region, Nanded has dismissed the application for intervention. Against the said order, the present Writ Petition is filed.

3] The respondents are served. Respondent no.1 has entered his appearance in the matter. The respondent

no.2, though served, has not entered appearance.

4] The learned counsel for the respondent no.1, on instructions, concedes to the prayer clause-B of the petition.

5] The respondent no.1 is 73 years old and that the change report is pending before the Deputy Charity Commissioner. In view of the same, the Deputy Charity Commissioner is directed to decide the change report within a period of six [06] months from the date of production of this order and no unnecessary adjournments be granted.

6] The learned counsel for the petitioners submit that they would co-operate the Deputy Charity Commissioner in deciding the pending change report.

7] The Writ Petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause-B. Writ Petition is disposed of accordingly.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

DDC