



(1)

17-WP-389-2025 STM

This order is speaking to minutes of order dated 15.07.2025.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

17 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 389 OF 2025

Rajdeep Publicity Pvt Ltd Through Authorized
Director Rajesh Mannalal Katariya And Another ...Petitioners

VERSUS

Vijaykumar Fulchand Katariya ...Respondents

WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 357 OF 2025

WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 369 OF 2025

WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 377 OF 2025

AND

18 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 392 OF 2025

Rajdeep Publicity Pvt Ltd Through Authorized
Director Rajesh Mannalal Katariya And Another ...Petitioners

VERSUS

Mahesh Prakash Katariya ...Respondents

WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 354 OF 2025

WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 365 OF 2025

WITH

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 376 OF 2025

AND

19 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 393 OF 2025

Rajdeep Publicity Pvt Ltd Through Authorized Director Rajesh Mannalal
Katariya And Another

VERSUS

Prakash Fulchand Katariya

This order is speaking to minutes of order dated 15.07.2025.

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 351 OF 2025
WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 364 OF 2025
WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 383 OF 2025
AND
20 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 394 OF 2025

Rajdeep Publicity Vt Ltd Through Authorized Director Rajesh Mannalal
Katariya And Another

VERSUS

Aruna Vijaykumar Katariya

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 366 OF 2025
WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 378 OF 2025
WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 384 OF 2025

...

Mr. Vinod Y. Bhide, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Satyajeet Bora, Advocate h/f Mr. Karan V. Sarosiya, Advocate for the
Respondent.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 4th JULY 2025.

PC :-

1. Heard the parties.
2. The learned Advocate for the Respondent Mr. Satyajeet Bora fairly conceded that the learned Sessions Judge could not have decided the

question of maintainability of the applications which are still pending before the learned Magistrate in the trial Court.

3. Considering above, the impugned orders are set aside. The matters are remanded back to the Sessions Court.

4. The Sessions Court, Samgamner, is directed to decide the revision petition on its own merits, without touching the aspect of maintainability of the applications for amendment in the trial Court within three months from today.

5. The trial Court thereafter to decide the application on its own merit, if it survives.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]