



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3240 OF 2025 IN
WRIT PETITION NO.4500 OF 2024**

Nimaj Village Panchayat & ors. ... **APPLICANTS**

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... **RESPONDENTS**

.....

Mr. R.L. Kute, Advocate for applicants

Mrs. V.S. Chaudhari, A.G.P. for State

Mr. Vinod Patil, Advocate for R.No.6 in W.P.

Mr. K.N. Shermale, Advocate for R.No.7 & 8 in W.P.

.....

**CORAM : R.G. AVACHAT AND
NEERAJ P. DHOTE, JJ.**

DATE : 19th AUGUST, 2025

ORDER :

This motion for speaking to minutes is moved by
learned Advocate Mr. Kute for applicants.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants submits that,
in Line No.1 of paragraph No.3 on Page No.2 of the order
dated 30/7/2025, instead of the words, "this is an application
for amendment to the application of amendment in writ
petition", the same shall be "this is an application for

amendment in the writ petition.” Similarly, on Page No.3 in last two lines of paragraph No.3 on Page No.2, instead of the words “we allow this application in terms of prayer clause (C-2), (C-3) and (C-4)”, the same shall be, “we allow this application in terms of prayer clause (A).

3. In view of the above, it is directed that, in Line No.1 of paragraph No.3 on Page No.2 of the order dated 30/7/2025, the words “this is an application for amendment to the application of amendment in writ petition”, be substituted with the words “this is an application for amendment in the writ petition.” and on Page No.3 in last two lines of paragraph No.3 at Page No.3, the words “we allow this application in terms of prayer clause (C-2), (C-3) and (C-4)”, be substituted with the words, “we allow this application in terms of prayer clause (A)”.

4. Order dated 30/7/2025 be corrected accordingly.

5. Motion for speaking to minutes stands disposed of.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.)

(R.G. AVACHAT, J.)

FMPathan/-