



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

19 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN NO.432 OF 2025

SUNIL HANYA VASAVE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.Shaikh Tousif Saifuddin

APP for Respondent-State : Mr.N.B.Patil

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 15.04.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant is apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.81/2025, registered with Nandurbar City Police Station, District Nandurbar, for the offence punishable under Sections 75, 78, 79, 308 (2), 352, 351 (2), 351 (3), 351 (4) of BNS.

3] The allegation against the applicant is that the applicant has certain photographs of the informant and on the basis of the said photographs, the applicant demanded relations with the informant. The photograph produced apparently are not obscene. This Court, by order dated 28.03.2025, has granted interim protection in favour of the

applicant for the reasons stated in para no.4, as noted below:

4] Perused the FIR. Prima facie, considering the allegations made in the FIR it appears that the parties know each other and considering the allegations, custodial interrogation of the applicant would not necessary...

4] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms of the aforesaid order, the applicant has attended the concerned police station and has co-operated with the investigation and further custodial interrogation of the applicant would not necessary in the matter. He further submits that the applicant has handed over his mobile to the investigating officer.

5] Considering the nature of allegations made, the interim protection granted by order dated 28.03.2025 stands confirmed, in the following terms :

i] The applicant shall attend the concerned police station as and when required by the investigating officer.

ii] The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. He shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

iii] The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

6] In the event, the applicant violates any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

7] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

8] The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

DDC