



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

90 WRIT PETITION NO. 3642 OF 2025

**TUBA FIRDOUSE SYED ZARIFUDDIN NAQSHBANDI ALIAS
SAYYEDA TUBA FIRDOUSE**

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND
OTHERS**

....

Mr. A. R. Syed, Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms D. S. Jape, AGP for the Respondent – State
Mr. A. R. Nikam, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 3701 OF 2025

RAMLAL LAXMAN CHAURE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

....

Mr. E. S. Murge, Advocate for the Petitioner
Ms D. S. Jape, AGP for the Respondent – State
Mr. A. R. Nikam, Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.**

DATE : 17.03.2025

PER COURT :-

Writ Petition No.3701 of 2025 is not on board. On
mentioning the papers are called.

2. The petitioners are before us seeking participation in the selection process of TAIT 2022 process being undertaken by the respondent Nos. 2 and 3. The petitioners claimed to be B.Ed., qualified and on that basis they are seeking to participate in the selection process.

3. We have heard both the sides.

4. The petitioners are seeking permission to appear for TET. The candidates having qualification of graduate plus B.Ed., are eligible and can participate in the selection process on the basis of their merit in the TET examination. The petitioners' performance of TET has been cancelled because of alleged scam. However, on the basis of they being graduate plus B.Ed., can easily participate in the selection process as has been considered by this Court in a group of writ petitions in the matter of ***Hina Kausar Mohammad Riyaz Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others (Writ Petition No.8534 of 2023 and connected matters)***, decided on 14.09.2023.

5. In the light of above, we dispose of the writ petitions with direction to respondent No.2 to permit these petitioners to participate

in the selection process who have passed their graduation plus B.Ed., qualification.

6. Parties to act on authenticated copy of this order.

7. If there is no other legal impediment which enables the respondent No.2 to prevent them, except on the ground that their TET performance has been cancelled.

[Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, J.]

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

SMS