



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4278 OF 2025

ANIL RATILAL VASAIKAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr. Y. V. Jaybhaye, Advocate for the Petitioners

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
Y. G. KHOBRAGADE, JJ.

DATE : 21.04.2025

PER COURT :

. The motion is made for speaking to the minutes of original order dated 01.04.2025, as corrected by the order dated 03.04.2025.

2. The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that, by the order dated 01.04.2025, respondent No. 6 alone was directed to take decision on the petitioner's representation. By the order dated 03.04.2025, the original order was sought to be modified and was accordingly directed to the effect that the petitioner's representation was directed to be considered by respondent Nos. 6 to 9. He would submit that in fact the representation is to be considered by respondent Nos. 5 to 9.

3. The learned AGP admits the state of affairs.
4. The motion is disposed of directing respondent Nos. 6 to be replaced at both the places in the order dated 01.04.2025 and a fresh order be uploaded.

(Y. G. KHOBRADE, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

jhs/