



drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.304 OF 2024
IN
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 32 OF 2016

Hemraj Ravindra Patil

PETITIONER

VERSUS

Ranjeetsingh Deol and Others

RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. Sandip R. Sapkal, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mrs. Uma S. Bhosale, AGP for Respondent - State
.....

[CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, &
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, J. J.]

DATE : 14th OCTOBER, 2025

ORDER :

1. By order dated 12th August, 2025, Director of Education (Primary) was directed to pass fresh orders with regard to the absorption of the Petitioner.
2. The Director has taken a decision that the Petitioner could not be absorbed in the service. Affidavit to that effect is filed stating that a Criminal Case is lodged against the Petitioner.
3. Learned Advocate for the Petitioner makes a grievance that, the order is arbitrary and unreasonable.
4. If the Petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, the

Petitioner may avail appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

5. As the decision is taken in the case of the Petitioner, we are of the view that the order is complied with.

6. The Contempt Petition is, therefore, disposed of.

[VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV]
JUDGE

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI]
JUDGE