



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

941 WRIT PETITION NO. 9118 OF 2022

VIJAYA SUBHASH PUSNAKE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Gawale Anand Datta.

AGP for Respondent/s-State : Mr. S. R. Yadav-Lonikar.

Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. Pulkundwar S. B.

Advocate for Respondent No.4 : Mr. Shelke Manoj Uttamrao.

...

CORAM : S. G. MEHARE, AND  
SHAILESH P. BRAHME, JJ.

DATE : 13.02.2025

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the respective learned counsels.
2. The petitioner has impugned the selection of respondent No.4. However, learned counsel for respondent No.4 would point out that alternate efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner in view of the Government Resolution dated 13.08.2014. Learned counsel for the petitioner tried to convince the Court that letter dated 05.07.2021 is an appeal. However, that does not reflect the impugned order or the proposal dated 04.06.2021. The above mentioned Government

Resolution provides for the remedy of complaint and appeal against such appointments. Hence, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw this writ petition with liberty to avail the remedy available in view of the above Government Resolution.

3. Leave granted as prayed.
4. Writ Petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

**(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)**

**(S. G. MEHARE, J.)**

...

vmk/-