



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

998 CONT. PETITION NO. 188 OF 2018
IN WP/8024/2017

Rahul Sandu Ankushe And Others
VERSUS
Sunil Vinodrai Raithatha And Another

...

- Mr. Anagha Pandit, Advocate for the Petitioners
- Mrs. U. S. Bhosale, AGP for Respondent/s – State
- Adv. D. A. Karnik h/f. Mr. K. M. Suryawanshi, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2

...

WITH
CONT. PETITION NO. 192 OF 2018
IN WP/8027/2017

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SMT. VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 25, 2025

PER COURT:

1. Learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the contempt petition is filed alleging contempt of the interim order. The main writ petition itself is dismissed. Therefore, its contempt petition is rendered infructuous.
2. Contempt Petition is disposed of as infructuous.

[SMT. VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, J.]

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]