



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

961 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2018

Central Bureau Of Investigation,
Anti Corruption Branch, Pune

....Applicant

VERSUS

Shankar @ Sanjay D. Borokar And Anr.

.....Respondents

.....

Mr. S. S. Panale, Advocate for the Applicant [CBI]

Mr. Shrikant Sali h/f Mr. N. S. Ghanekar, Advocate for Respondent
No.1

Ms. Monica Bagwe h/f Mr. C. P. Sengaonkar, Advocate for
Respondent No.2

.....

CORAM : NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.

DATE : 17.04.2025

PER COURT :

1. This is an Application for condonation of 104 days delay caused in preferring Criminal Application for Leave to Appeal.
2. On issuance of notice on this Application, learned Advocate Mr. Shrikant Sali causes appearance for Respondent No.1 and learned Advocate Ms. Monica Bagwe causes appearance for Respondent No.2.
3. It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the Applicant that, the Applicant, being the Central Government Agency, was required to process the proposal at various levels before obtaining sanction to file Application for leave to Appeal. He submits that, the delay is due to administrative process and the same may be condoned.

4. The Application is opposed by the learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and the learned Advocate for Respondent No.2. They submit that, no documents are enclosed in support of the Application and therefore, the delay is not bona fide. They submit that, the Application be rejected.

5. The Application for condonation of delay is on an Affidavit sworn in by one of the Officer from the Agency. There is nothing to show that, the said reasons are incorrect. For the reasons mentioned in the Application, the aforesaid delay is condoned and the Application is allowed in terms of Prayer Clause - 'A'. Application is disposed off accordingly.

[NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.]

Sameer/April-2025