



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONT. PETITION NO. 278 OF 2017
IN WP/4223/2012

Pradeep Mohanlal Lodha
VERSUS
The State Of Maharashtra And Others

...

None for the Petitioner
Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondent No. 1
Mr. S. S. Chillarge, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI &
SMT. VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 22, 2025

PER COURT:

1. Respondent No. 1 – Deputy Collector/Special Land Acquisition Officer, Jalgaon has filed reply stating that the case of the petitioner was examined in the light of Government Resolution dated 01.12.1972 as modified by Government Resolution dated 02.04.1979.

2. It was found that possession of the acquired land is not taken by the Acquiring Body prior to the issuance of Section 4 notification and therefore, petitioner is not entitled to rental compensation as claimed by him.

3. In view of the same, we do not find any contempt of our orders as alleged by the petitioners. Contempt Petition is, therefore, disposed of.

(SMT. VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)