



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONT. PETITION NO. 268 OF 2024
IN WP/13110/2023
WITH
CONT. PETITION NO. 870 OF 2024
IN WP/8444/2024
WITH
CONT. PETITION NO. 108 OF 2025
IN WP/8444/2024**

SAMBHAJI S/O SADASHIV MUNDHE

VERSUS

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY**

...

Mr. Bhaskar M. P., Advocate for the Petitioner (In CP No.268/24)
Mr. B. R. Kedar, Advocate for the Petitioner (In CP No.870/24)
Mr. S. S. Thombre, Advocate for the Petitioner (In CP No.108/25)
Mr. A. S. Shinde, AGP for Respondent-State
Mr. V.G. Kodale, Advocate for Respondent No.5 (In CP 108/25)

....

**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, JJ.**

DATED : 03/07/2025.

P. C. :

1. In all these contempt petitions disputed questions of fact are raised. There is dispute in the management. Petitioner No.3 in Contempt Petition No.108 of 2025 claims that she is the in-charge Headmistress and at no point of time she has handed over charge of the post of the headmaster to the petitioner in Contempt Petition No.870 of 2024. Whereas petitioner in Contempt Petition No.870

of 2024 claims that his services as Headmaster are approved by the Education Officer.

2. Considering the above, as disputed questions of fact are raised, we are not inclined to entertain the contempt petitions. The contempt petitions are disposed of. Parties are at liberty to vindicate their grievance by approaching before appropriate forum.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)

(NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)