



(1)

27wp3470.25

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

27 WRIT PETITION NO. 3470 OF 2025

SAMADHAN BALAJI MATE

....Petitioner

VERSUS

NAGNATH VISHWNATH ALANE AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

Mr. Patel Fayaz K., Advocate for the petitioner

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 25th MARCH, 2025

P. C.

1. Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner.
2. The challenge in the petition is to an order dated 10-12-2024 passed below Exh.U-39 in WCA No. 32/2015 by the learned Commissioner under Employees Compensation Act and Judge, Labour Court, Latur rejecting the said application.
3. It is the case of the petitioner that during the course of the proceeding the learned advocate for the insurance

company had stated that matter can be compromised on behalf of the parties. However, later on the insurance company-respondent retracted and said that they have not issued any such letter in favour of the learned advocate to compound the matter and to accept the liability.

4. It is in that view the petitioner filed an application seeking direction to respondent No. 2- insurance company to produce on record the letter of authority. Said application was opposed stating that there is no provision to call on record such letter. The learned court observed that matter was finally argued long back and was fixed for citation. Thereafter, the application is filed. The petitioner cannot insist to produce such documents on record and rejected the application.

5. This court does not find any illegality in the order as no party can compel other party to produce on record documents. It is always open for the court to draw an adverse inference, in case, it is necessary. This court does not find any

(3)

27wp3470.25

reason calling for interference at the hands of this court. The petition therefore, stands dismissed. No order as to costs.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]

VishalK/27wp3470.25