



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

82 WRIT PETITION NO. 2995 OF 2025

SUNIL NARAYANRAO GUDE

VERSUS

1. THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, SCHOOL EDUCATION AND SPORTS DEPARTMENT,
MANTARLAYA, MUMBAI
2. DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, PRIMARY AND HIGHER PRIMARY,
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION, PUNE
3. THE DIVISIONAL DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF EDUCATION, LATUR
4. THE EDUCATION OFFICER (PRIMARY), ZILLA PARISHAD,
LATUR

....

Mr T. M. Venjane, Advocate for petitioner

Mr R. K. Ingole, A.G.P. for respondent Nos.1 to 3

Mr U. B. Bondar, Advocate for respondent No.4

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL

AND

PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.

DATE : 4th March, 2025

PER COURT:

1. By the impugned order, respondent No.4/Education Officer (Primary) has refused to exercise the powers under Clause 26.4 of the Secondary School Code for correction of the petitioner's school record only on the ground that he is no longer studying in the school.

2. We have heard the learned advocate for the petitioner, learned A.G.P. and learned advocate Mr Bondar, who appears suo moto for respondent No.4/Education Officer (Primary).

3. The writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.4 to reconsider the proposal of the petitioner on its own merits and in the light of the observations and the conclusions drawn in the matter of **Janabai Himmatrao Thakur vs. The State of Maharashtra and others, 2019(6) Mh.L.J. 769 (FB)**, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within eight weeks.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.) (MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

sjk