



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

948 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN NO. 316 OF 2025

AMRUT MUNJAJI KHONDE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr.S.K.Sohail Subhedar h/f.

Mr.N.S.Ghanekar

APP for Respondent-State : Mr.G.O.Wattamwar

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 03.04.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant is apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No. 493/2024, registered with Vimantal Police Station, Dist. Nanded, for the offence punishable under Sections 109, 118 (1), 115, 352, 351 (2), 351 (3) r/w. 3 (5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3] This Court, by order dated 10.03.2025, has granted interim protection in favour of the applicant for the submissions stated in para nos. 3 and 4, as noted below :

3] The case against the Applicant in the FIR is that the informant sold his land in Gat No.71 in village Taroda, District Nanded 15

years back and that on 05.12.2024, he had visited the place to show the boundary of land. It is stated that the Applicant along with others assaulted the informant at the spot of incident, one of whom was covering his face assaulted the informant. It is also stated that the Applicant/Amrut Khonde was holding a knife. It is also stated that Applicant/Amrut Khonde has assaulted Gajanand Solanke and the others have assaulted the informant. It is stated that Applicant/Amrut Khonde has assaulted by means of a knife to Gajanand Solanke.

4] The learned APP has produced the medical certificate dated 05.12.2024 of Gajanand Devidas Solanke which shows simple injuries on his head. The learned APP submits that these injuries were caused by knife during assault. Considering that the injuries are simple in nature and does not appear to have been caused by knife, interim protection can be granted to the Applicant.

4] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that in terms of aforesaid order, the applicant has co-operated with the investigation.

5] No adverse material is brought against the applicant beyond facts mentioned in order dated 10.03.2025. In view of the same, the interim protection granted by order dated 10.03.2025 stands confirmed, in the following terms :

i] The applicant shall attend the concerned police

station as and when required by the investigating officer.

ii] The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. He shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

iii] The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

6] In the event, the applicant violates any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

7] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

8] The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE