



(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

41 WRIT PETITION NO. 2558 OF 2025

**VINAYAK MARUTI SURVASE
VERSUS
THE DISTRICT DEPUTY REGISTRAR CO OPERATIVE
SOCIETIES, OSMANABAD**

**WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST.) NO.6324 OF 2025**

**PUNDALIK LAXMAN BHOGE
VERSUS
VINAYAK MARUTI SURVASE AND ANOTHER**

....

Mr P. B. Rakhunde, Advocate for petitioner
Mr S. V. Hange, A.G.P. for respondent/State
Mr Jaideep Kadam, Advocate for applicant in CAST/6324/2025

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL
AND
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 24th February, 2025

PER COURT:

1. The petitioner is before us being anxious to get the proceeding under Section 18 of the Maharashtra Money Lending (Regulation) Act, 2014 pending before respondent/District Deputy Registrar, for early decision.

2. Learned advocate Mr Kadam appears suo moto and takes objection that his client was party in the proceeding before the

(2)

respondent and is necessary party but has been deliberately omitted from the array of the respondents.

3. Considering the fact that the suit is of the year 2017, we direct the respondent to decide it as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of six months.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.) (MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

sjk