



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 192 OF 2025

Irfan Chand Kazi

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and another

...

Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Hrishikesh V. Tungar

APP for Respondents: Mr. A.M. Phule

.....

**CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI AND
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATED : 12th MARCH, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. The respondents have filed an affidavit stating that the property which was seized from the premises owned by the petitioner has been taken away by the police officer. However, learned advocate for the petitioner submits that in the additional affidavit, the petitioner has stated as to how much material is still lying in the premises and vacant possession has not been handed over to the petitioner.

2. Learned A.P.P. makes a statement, on the instructions, that the vacant possession would be given within a period of ten (10) days from today. We take this as an undertaking.

3. It appears that circular has also been issued by the District

Superintendent of Police, Dharashiv, on 12.3.2025, stating that no immovable property should be sealed /seized if the owner is not connected with the crime. Hope it is observed throughout the district.

4. In view of the undertaking, we dispose of the writ petition.

(SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.)

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

rlj/