



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 107 OF 2025

Satish Bhaskar Khare

.. Appellant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and another .. Respondents

Shri S. M. Ganachari, A.P.P. for the Respondent No. 1.
Ms.Tanvi V. Jadhav, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2 –
appointed.

CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 28TH APRIL, 2025.

ORDER :

. This motion is made for speaking to minutes to the order dated 22nd April, 2025.

2. It transpires that despite dictation of clause quantifying fees for the lawyer appointed for the respondent No. 2, same has not been reflected in the order. Mistake is apparent and inadvertent. Hence after clause No. 14 of the order dated 22.04.2025 following clause be added :

“15. Learned advocate Ms. Tanvi Jadhav appeared for the respondent No. 2. Her fees is quantified to Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five thousands only) for her assistance”.

3. Corrections be carried out in the order dated 22.04.2025 and corrected copy be uploaded.

4. Motion is disposed of.

[SHAILESH P. BRAHME J.]

bsb/April 25