



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

938 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLN. NO. 280 OF 2025

1] JANYA JEGLA VASAVE
2] NAMSHYA DURYA PADVI
3] RAMJI AATYA VASAVE
4] DAJYA GOVLYA VASAVE
5] ROTYA DURYA PADVI
6] PANDYA TADVI @ PANDYA GIMBYA TADAVI
7] BAJYA GOVLYA VASAVE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr.Amit S. Savale

APP for Respondent-State : Mr.S.K.Shirse

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 07.03.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicants and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] By order dated 25.02.2025, the application in respect of applicant no.1 – Janya Jegla Vasave is already dismissed as withdrawn.

3] The applicant nos. 2 to 7 are apprehending arrest in connection with FIR No.132/2024, dated 13/12/2024, registered with Molgi Police Station, District Nandurbar, for the offences punishable under sections 115(2), 118(1), 140(2), 189(2), 190, 191(2), 308(4) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

4] This Court, by order dated 25.02.2025, has granted interim protection in favour of the applicant nos. 2 to 7 for the submissions and reasons stated in para nos.4 and 5, as noted below :

4. The case against applicants No. 2 to 7 is that they assaulted the informant, alleging that the informant and others were responsible for the suicidal death of one Sonali, daughter of accused No.8, and demanded Rs.10 lakh to avoid registering a criminal offence against them. The learned Counsel for applicants No.2 to 7 submits that, although the genesis of the present FIR is based on Sonali's death, the applicants have no connection with the same. He further submits that on the same date, the informant and her family had come to settle the matter regarding Sonali's death, leading to a minor scuffle between the parties.

5. The learned APP has produced the injury certificate on record, which indicates that the injuries are simple in nature. Considering these aspects, applicants No. 2 to 7 are granted interim protection in the following terms.

5] The learned counsel for the applicants submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, the applicants have attended the concerned police station and have co-operated with the investigation.

6] Considering that nothing adverse is found against the applicants. In view of the same, the interim protection granted by order dated 25.02.2025 stands confirmed in the following terms :

i] The applicants shall attend the concerned police station as and when required by the investigating officer.

ii] The applicants shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. They shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

iii] The applicants shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

7] In the event, the applicants violate any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

8] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

9] The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE