



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**956 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 265 OF 2024
SIYYA W/O RAHUL CHANDNANI**

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Rajendrraa Deshmukkh, Senior
Advocate a/w. Ms. Rakshanda R. Jaiswal & Ms. Meenal
Deshmukh i/b. Mr. Devang Deshmukh
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 183 OF 2024
ALKA W/O SANTOSH KHANDARE**

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Mahesh P. Kale
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 260 OF 2024
ANJU W/O SUDHIR KATKE**

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Deshmukh Sachin S.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

**ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 292 OF 2024
SHITAL W/O MANGESH LOLGE**

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Shinde Dhananjay M.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 312 OF 2024

MINAKSHI W/O BHAGWAN GUNJKAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Kawade Shrikant G.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 313 OF 2024

GAYATRI W/O GANESH MAHAMUNE

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Kawade Shrikant G.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 335 OF 2024

VARSHA W/O BHARATBHUSHAN AGRAWAL

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Ghanekar Nilesh S.
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

WITH

...

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1289 OF 2024
IN ABA/273/2024
TRIVENI W/O. DHARMENDRA KHARJULE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Nagargoje Prabhakar Nathrao
APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale
...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 26.03.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicants and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicants are apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.0934/2023, registered at Hingoli City Police Station, District Hingoli, for the offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 408, 409, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 & Sections 3 and 4 of the MPID Act, 1999.

3] This court by order dated 09.02.2024, 20.02.2024, 23.02.2024 & 06.03.2024 granted interim protection to the applicants.

4] The learned counsel for the applicants submits that thereafter the applicants have cooperated with the investigation.

5] From the FIR and from the investigation so far, it appears that one Kharjule family was in control of Kulswamini Mahila Urban Co-operative Society, wherein the President, Vice-President, Secretary and two of the Directors belong to one family. It is stated that the loans were sanctioned on the basis of the fabricated documents by Kharjule family to its own family members. It is also stated that the Kharjule family took loans of about Rs.6,21,00,000/- approximately. The total outstanding amount till today, which the society needs to repay to the depositors is about Rs.10,68,00,000/- approximately.

6] The learned counsel for the applicants submits that all the applicants herein are ladies.

7] It is also stated by the learned APP that various amounts were withdrawn by cash from the accounts of the society by the Kharjule family. The applicants are not connected with the Kharjule family. The applicants are the ladies living in the nearby vicinity, who are appointed as Directors.

8] The learned counsel submits that the applicants did not understand their nature of appointments and they have not consciously functioned as Directors. The learned counsel for the applicants further submits that the applicants are the housewives and have not benefited from

any of the transactions, so also, the applicants' family members have not benefited from the sanctioned loans.

9] The learned APP submits that the applicants are jointly responsible as they are signatories to the sanctioned loans.

10] After granting interim protection to the applicants the applicants have cooperated with the investigation. There is no evidence that the applicants have benefited out of the loans sanctioned to the Kharjule family members. Considering the same, since, there is no specific role of the applicants towards the active participation in the fraud committed by Kharjule family and they being ladies, the interim protection granted earlier can be confirmed.

11] In view of the above, the interim protection granted by orders dated 09.02.2024, 20.02.2024, 23.02.2024 & 06.03.2024 stands confirmed, on the following terms:

i] The applicants shall attend the police station as and when required by the Investigating Officer.

ii] The applicants shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. They shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

iii] The applicants shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

12] In the event, the applicants violate any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

13] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail applications and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

14] The Anticipatory Bail Applications stand disposed of.

15] Criminal Application No.1289 of 2024 stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

marathe