



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3271 OF 2025
IN CA/11377/2024**

Sow. Vidya Suresh Kulkarni

VERSUS

Shankar Mansingh Madare And Others

...

Mr. R. R. Dhongde h/f Mr. S. S. Kulkarni, Advocate for
Applicant

Mr. Pallod, Advocate for Respondents

.....

CORAM : AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.

DATED : 08TH OCTOBER, 2025

ORDER :-

1. Heard Mr. R. R. Dhongde h/f Mr. S. S. Kulkarni and
Mr. Pallod, learned Advocate for the respondents.

2. In the morning session, Mr. S. S. Kulkarni, learned
Advocate for the applicant has argued this Civil Application
pointing out that during the proceeding, respondent no.7 is
expired and the application is filed by the legal representatives
of the deceased respondent no.7.

3. The proposed respondent nos.7-A to 7-D represent the
rights/liabilities of respondent no.7 and as such it is
endeavour that respondent nos.7-A to 7-D need to be arrayed
as party respondents to the Civil Revision Application.

4. Mr. Pallod, learned Advocate in all fairness places his no objection to allow the application in so far as it merely seeks to bring legal representatives of deceased respondent no.7 on record.

5. In view of this, I pass following order:

ORDER

- a. Civil Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.
- b. Necessary amendment be carried out within four weeks from today.
- c. Upon carrying out amendment, office to issue notice to the newly added respondents made returnable on 19.11.2025.
- d. The matter be listed after service of notice is complete. In the meantime, applicant shall take recourse to the provisions enumerated in Chapter II of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules, corresponding to the stage and requirement of matter.

(AJIT B. KADETHANKAR, J.)