



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**909 CONT. PETITION NO. 208 OF 2025
IN WP/3639/2018**

**SHWETA AMIT CHOPDA
VERSUS
SUNIL BALKRUSHNA PURANIK**

...

Mr. Ostwal Abhaykumar Dilip, Advocate for the Petitioner
Mr. Hon Ashwin Vinayak, Advocate for Respondent

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

DATE : 26.06.2025

PER COURT :-

. Mr. Ashwin Hon, learned Advocate appearing for respondent submits that directions given by this Court under order dated 15.07.2024 in writ petition No.3639 of 2018 are complied with and nothing survives in petition.

2. Mr. Ostwal, learned Advocate for petitioner, however, submits that respondent / Contemnor prolonged implementation of decision of this Court without any reason. Only when notice of contempt petition was served, action is taken.

3. Although there is some substance in contention of Mr. Ostwal, the fact remains that contemnor had filed proceeding for review of order and after rejection of review application, the order has been complied with. Therefore, although there is technical fault on part of contemnor, this Court does not find it necessary to initiate action against Contempt of Court.

4. In that view of the matter, contempt petition stands disposed of.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.]