



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**942 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 262 OF 2025**

**VARUN DHARMENDRA PADVI**

**VERSUS**

**THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Jain Gajendra Devichand

APP for Respondent/State: Mr. N. B. Patil

...

...

**CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.**

**DATE : 27.02.2025**

**P.C. :**

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant is apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.0035/2025, dated 24.01.2025, registered at Upnagar Police Station, District Nandurbar, for the offences punishable under Sections 137(2), 304(2), 127(2), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 & Section 75 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015.

3] This court granted interim protection to the applicant by order dated 13.02.2025, considering the submissions and reasons, at paras 4 and 5, as under:

*“4] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that there is matrimonial dispute*

*between the mother of the informant and accused no.1. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the legal custody of the daughter is with the father and the present proceedings are filed at the instance of the mother of the informant so as to settle their matrimonial dispute and as a counterblast to the proceedings that are initiated by accused no.1.*

*5] Considering the above and that there is a pending dispute between the parties, this case can be considered for grant of interim protection.”*

4] The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has attended the concerned police station and cooperated with the investigation.

5] Considering that there is pending matrimonial dispute between the parties, and the implication of the applicant cannot be ruled out. As such, the interim protection is confirmed.

6] In view of the above, the interim protection granted by order dated 13.02.2025 stands confirmed, on the following terms:

i] The applicant shall attend the police station as and when required by the Investigating Officer.

ii] The applicant shall not tamper with the

evidence of the prosecution in any manner. He shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.

iii] The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

7] In the event, the applicant violates any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

8] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

9] The application stands disposed of.

**[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]**  
**JUDGE**

marathe