



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

927 WRIT PETITION NO. 2322 OF 2019

VISHNUPRAKASH SHRINIVAS TOTALA AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

.....
Mr. Swapnil S. Rathi, Advocate for the Petitioners
Mr. V. M. Chate, AGP for the Respondent/State
Mr. V. V. Bhavthankar, Advocate for Respondent No.3
Mr. A. S. Bajaj, Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 to 13

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 4th OCTOBER, 2025

P.C. :-

1. During the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the contesting Respondent, on instructions, makes statement that having regard to the time lapse in between passing of the order impugned and decision of the present Petition and also in view of the fact that the order is impugned is stayed, according to him there is no propriety in seeking any order on merit in this Petition. He, therefore records no objection for setting aside the order impugned.

2. In view of this, order stands set aside.

3. Learned Counsel for both sides are unanimous to state that the reference is pending since year 1987 and the same needs to be decided expeditiously.

4. In view of the fact that the reference is pending since 1987, the Reference Court to decide the same within a period of two months from today, since as per the copy of roznama placed on record indicates that the proceeding is at the stage of argument.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp