



{1}

909 to 912 sr.nos..odt

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1737 OF 2025  
IN FAST/4756/2025**

The Exe Engr M I Div No 1 Aurangabad Thr Ex Director G M I D Corp  
Aurangabad

....Applicant

**VERSUS**

Parasram Kachru Tayde And Ors

.....Respondent

.....

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Bhalerao Sudhirkumar G  
Advocate for respondent original claimants : Mr. L.C. Patil.

**910 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1745 OF 2025  
IN FAST/4762/2025**

The Executive Engineer Minor Inigation Division No 1 Aurangabad

....Applicant

**VERSUS**

Eknath Ramchandra Gavali Died Through L Rs Sainath Eknath Gavali  
And Ors

.....Respondent

.....

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Bhalerao Sudhirkumar G  
Advocate for respondent original claimant : Mr. P.M. Janapurkar.

**911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1747 OF 2025  
IN FAST/4766/2025**

The Exe Engr M I Div No 1 Aurangabad Thr Ex Director G M I D Corp  
Aurangabad

....Applicant

**VERSUS**

Prabhakar Ramrao Narwade And Ors

.....Respondent

.....

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Bhalerao Sudhirkumar G

Advocate for respondent original claimants : Mr. L.C. Patil.

**912 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1749 OF 2025  
IN FAST/4759/2025**

The Exe Engr M I Div No 1 Aurangabad Thr G M I D Corp Aurangabad  
....Applicant

**VERSUS**

Ashok Namdeo Karhale Died Through L Rs A Rukmanbai Ashok Karhale  
And Ors  
.....Respondent

.....  
Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Bhalerao Sudhirkumar G  
Advocate for respondent original claimants : Mr. L.C. Patil.  
AGP for respondent State : Mr. V.S. Badakh.

**CORAM : S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.**

**DATE : 12TH FEBRUARY, 2025.**

**ORDER :-**

**IN APPEAL**

1. Heard learned advocate for appellant.
- 2.. Issue notice to respondents returnable after 12 weeks.
3. Learned AGP waives notice for respondent/State.

**ON DELAY CONDONATION APPLICATION**

1. Mr. Bhalerao, learned advocate for applicant submits that delay caused in filing appeal is on account of administrative reasons. Applicants have not derived any disadvantage by making delay.

2. Learned advocate for respondents oppose the prayer contending that explanation put forth would not constitute 'sufficient cause' within the meaning of Section 5 of the limitation Act.

3. Having considered submissions advanced, apparently, the delay is on account of administrative compliance. Applicants requires to follow office procedure. The applicants have not derived any benefit by making delay. In that view of the matter, sufficient cause is made out to condone the delay. In the result, civil application is allowed. Delay is condoned. Appeal be registered. On registration of appeal, issue notice to respondents returnable in twelve weeks. Call for R. & P. Respective Advocates waive notice.

#### ON CIVIL APPLICATION FOR STAY

1. Heard Mr. Bhalerao, learned advocate for applicant.
2. Issue notice to respondents returnable in 12 weeks.
3. Learned advocates for respondent waive notice.
4. Till then, there shall be ad-interim stay to the award under challenge, subject to condition that applicant deposits the entire amount as directed by the Reference Court by the returnable date.

**[S.G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J]**

grt/-