



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

57 WRIT PETITION NO. 2087 OF 2025

Bapu Ganpatrao Yevate

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioner – Mr. G. J. Karne

AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 – Ms. S. S. Joshi

Advocate for Respondent No. 3 – Ms. Yogita Thorat

...

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.

DATE : 20.02.2025

PER COURT :

. The petitioner who claims to be the senior most in the teachers' cadre is taking exception to the order of the Education Officer whereby in spite of, he having granted approval to his appointment earlier, as acting or in-charge headmaster, subsequently, he has been granted a similar approval to respondent No. 5.

2. The learned advocate for the petitioner submits that there is a dispute in the management. However, on a query, he is unable to place on record anything to demonstrate that the petitioner has been granted a permanent appointment as a headmaster. Since, admittedly, respondent No. 4 is a minority institute and the Education

Officer, has in the circumstances granted approval to respondent No. 5 only as acting or in-charge headmaster for processing salary bills, in our considered view, this is not a matter to be undertaken scrutiny of, much less for issuance of any writ of *mandamus* under Article 226.

3. Petition is dismissed.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

KS_Kamble/