



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

993 CONT. PETITION NO. 118 OF 2017
IN CA/12816/2016

Damodhar Shankar Ingle

VERSUS

Smt. Nidhi Pandey, District Collector, Aurangabad And Another

...

- Mr. More Ashok A., Advocate for the Petitioner
- Mr. S. R. Yadav Lonikar, AGP for Respondent/s - State

...

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 124 OF 2017 IN CA/12801/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 130 OF 2017 IN CA/12798/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 132 OF 2017 IN CA/12799/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 120 OF 2017 IN CA/12793/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 131 OF 2017 IN CA/15793/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 134 OF 2017 IN CA/12788/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 127 OF 2017 IN CA/12818/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 128 OF 2017 IN CA/12807/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 122 OF 2017 IN CA/12786/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 121 OF 2017 IN CA/12785/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 133 OF 2017 IN CA/12815/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 129 OF 2017 IN CA/12814/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 119 OF 2017 IN CA/12787/2016

WITH

CONT. PETITION NO. 123 OF 2017 IN CA/12789/2016

...

CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND
SMT. VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, JJ.

DATE : SEPTEMBER 25, 2025

PER COURT:

1. Learned advocate for the petitioner fairly submits that the order is complied with. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the contempt petition.
2. Permission is granted.
3. Contempt Petition is, therefore, disposed of as withdrawn.

[SMT. VAISHALI PATIL – JADHAV, J.]

[NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI, J.]