



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

70 WRIT PETITION NO. 2367 OF 2025

Rima Balasaheb Gaikwad Alias Rimatai Rama Walekar And Others

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. V. A. Bagal

AGP for Respondent/s-State : Ms. V. N. Patil Jadhav

...

AND

72 WRIT PETITION NO. 2375 OF 2025

Prayagbai Ankush Rathod Alias Prayagbai Namdeo Jadhav And
Another

VERSUS

The State Of Maharashtra Through Its Secretary And Others

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr. V. A. Bagal

AGP for Respondent/s-State : Mr. M. K. Goyanka

...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 20.02.2025

PER COURT :

. The petitioners are questioning the order of disqualification from continuing as members of the village panchayat, having been elected against reserved seat but having failed to produce the certificates of validity by the cut off date.

2. Though the petitioner No. 3 in WP No. 2367 of 2025 claims to have a certificate of validity before the cut off date, admittedly even she

did not produce it before the Collector by the cut off date. The disqualification under Section 10-1A is axiomatic. No fault can be found in the order of disqualification. The petitions to that extent are dismissed.

3. However, as regards the other prayer for early decision of the tribe claim, the respondent – committee shall decide petitioners' proposal as expeditiously as possible.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)

Komal/