



- 1 -

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

57 PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 10 OF 2022

SUBHASH SAHEBRAO NAKALGAONKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY AND OTHERS
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 9143 OF 2022
IN PIL/10/2022

SHANKAR TRIMBAK JUJGAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SUBHASH SAHEBRAO NAKALGAONKAR AND OTHERS

Mr.S.S.Nade, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.S.V.Hange, AGP for the respondent/State.
Mr.V.D.Salunke h/f Mr.M.V.Salunke, Advocate for the applicants in CA.

(CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL AND
PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, JJ.)

DATE : FEBRUARY 3, 2025

PER COURT :

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner. In spite of there being already a direction issued by this Court in PIL No.5/2020 on 13.01.2020, the petitioner is raking up the same issue, probably in the light of the supervening events that have happened in implementation and execution of the order passed in PIL No.5/2020.

2. In our considered view, when this Court has already issued the directions while deciding the PIL No.5/2020, considering that it is merely a matter of seeking execution and implementation of those directions, that cannot happen by way of another PIL.

3. The petition is disposed of. The amount deposited by the petitioner be refunded to him.

4. The Civil application stands disposed of.

(PRAFULLA S. KHUBALKAR, J.)

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)