



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

4 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 471 OF 2025

1. Shri Sudhir Gorakhnath Ravale (Husband of Informant)
Age: 33 years, Occu.: Agriculture,
R/o: At Jategaon, Post Wakala, Tq. Nandgaon,
District Nashik.
2. Shri Gorakhnath Bhika Ravale (Father-in-law of Informant),
Age: 58 years, Occu.: Agriculture,
R/o: At Jategaon, Post Wakala, Tq. Nandgaon,
District Nashik.
3. Sau. Wanubai Gorakhnath Ravale (Mother-in-law of Informant),
Age: 50 years, Occu.: Household,
R/o: At Jategaon, Post Wakala, Tq. Nandgaon,
District Nashik.

... APPLICANTS

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through the Police Inspector,
CIDCO Police Station,
Tq. and District Chatrapati Sambhajinagar.
2. Sau. Yogita @ Sonali W/o Sudhir Ravale (Informant),
Age: 26 years, Occu.: Household,
R/o: C/o: Laxman Vishwanath Gadekar,
Police Colony, T.V. Centre, N-10.
CIDCO, Chatrapati Sambhajinagar,
Tq. and District Chatrapati Sambhajinagar.

... RESPONDENTS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Yogesh B. Bolkar & Mr. Bhausheeb K. Jadhav.
APP for Respondent / State : Smt. P. R. Bharaswadkar.
Advocate for Respondent No.2 : Mr. M. B. Ubale.

...

CORAM : **SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI &
SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, JJ.**

DATE : 19th March, 2025.

Per Court:

. Mr. M. B. Ubale, learned counsel appears *suo-moto* for respondent No.2 and files reply affidavit on behalf of respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

2 The learned counsel for the applicants and the learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that there is a compromise between the applicants and respondent No.2, especially applicant No.1. In fact, such settlement was produced in Petition No.E-93 of 2022, before the Family Court, Aurangabad. A copy of the same has been given. However, from the said copy, it cannot be gathered as to whether the concerned Court had read and recorded the terms or not ? Now, the reply affidavit also contains almost the same contents. The affidavit is sworn before the Section Officer of this Court and the Aadhar card is also annexed. Respondent No.2 has no objection for quashing the FIR and the proceedings.

3 We hope that the other proceedings would also be disposed of in terms of the compromise and then the amount, which has been deposited by applicant No.1 with the Trial Court towards the maintenance and future needs, would be allowed to be withdrawn by

respondent No.2. With these observations, the following order is passed:-

ORDER

- I. The application stands allowed and disposed of.
- II. The proceedings in R.C.C. No.775 of 2023, pending before the learned VIIth Judicial Magistrate First Class, Aurangabad, Taluka and District Aurangabad, arising out of FIR vide C.R. No.348 of 2022, dated 22nd July, 2022, registered with CIDCO Police Station, Taluka and District Aurangabad, for the offence punishable under Sections 498-A, 323 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, stands quashed and set aside as against all the applicants.

[SANJAY A. DESHMUKH, J.] [SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.]

nga