



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 929 OF 2013
IN FAST/3893/2012

Geetabai Pratapsing Parmar & othersApplicants

VERSUS

B. Shankar Rangarao & othersRespondents

Mr. K. M. Nagarkar, Advocate for Applicants.

Mr. Usmanpurkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 10th OCTOBER, 2025.

PER COURT :

1. This is an application for condonation of delay of 86 days in taking exception to the order passed in MACP No. 660/2008 by Adhoc District Judge -2 and Member, MACT Nanded, on 13.07.2011.

2. Applicant No. 1 is the widow, Applicant Nos. 2 and 3 are children and Applicant Nos. 4 and 5 are the parents of deceased Pratapsingh who died on 03.03.2008 in a motor vehicular accident.

3. No reply is filed by the Respondents. For the reasons mentioned in the Application which have gone unchallenged, this is a

fit case for condonation of delay of 86 days which is neither inordinate nor deliberate.

4. In view of above, Application stands allowed in terms of prayer clause 'B'.

5. Contention of learned counsel for Respondents with regard to statutory interest would be considered at the time of final decision of the Appeal.

(R. M. JOSHI)
Judge

dyb

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

FIRST APPEAL ST NO 3893/2012

Geetabai Pratapsing Parmar & othersAppellant

VERSUS

B. Shankar Rangarao & othersRespondents

Mr. K. M. Nagarkar, Advocate for Appellants.

Mr. Usmanpurkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 10th OCTOBER, 2025.

PER COURT :

1. Heard.

2. Issue notice to Respondents returnable on 24.11.2025.

Learned counsel Mr. Usmanpurkar waives service of notice on behalf of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

(R. M. JOSHI)
Judge

dyb