



(1)

966-MCA-87-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

966 MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 87 OF 2025

Tara Chandrashekhar Varma

VERSUS

Chandrashekhar Radheshyam Varma

...

Mr. Narayan V. Vireshwar, Advocate for Applicant.

None for the respondent.

CORAM : KISHORE C. SANT, J.

DATE : 10th OCTOBER 2025.

PC :-

1. In spite of service, none appears for the respondent. The matter is therefore taken up with the assistance of learned Advocate for the applicant.
2. This application is filed by the wife seeking transfer of proceeding bearing Hindu Marriage Petition No.209/2023, from the Court of learned CJSD, Jalgaon to the Court of learned Judge, Family Court at Latur.
3. It is the case of the applicant-wife that she is residing at Latur with

Ethape

her parents. She has already instituted a proceeding for restitution of conjugal rights in the Court at Latur. Thereafter, the husband filed a proceeding in the Court at Jalgaon. Both the proceedings are about the marriage. The distance between two places is around 350 kms. There is no one to accompany her to attend the Court proceeding at Jalgaon. The learned Advocate for the applicant, therefore, submits that to avoid conflicting judgments and for the convenience of the wife, it is necessary that both the cases are tried by the same Court.

4. Considering above position, this Court thus finds that to avoid conflicting judgments and for the convenience of the wife, the proceedings needs to be transferred. Hence, the following order:

ORDER

- (i) Misc. Civil Application stands allowed.
- (ii) The proceedings of Hindu Marriage Petition No.209/2023, from the Court of learned CJSD, Jalgaon stands transferred to the Court of learned Judge, Family Court at Latur.
- (iii) After transfer of the proceeding, the applicant shall not seek

unnecessary adjournments. In case the Trial Court finds that the adjournments are unnecessarily sought, the Trial Court may deal with such application, so as to compensate the respondent-husband, if he personally remains present.

(iv) Whenever request is made by the respondent-husband seeking permission to appear through video conferencing, the same shall be considered liberally by the trial Court.

(v) With this, Misc. Civil Application stands disposed off.

[KISHORE C. SANT, J.]