



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

**902 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 77 OF 2015  
IN WP/2831/2014**

KANTRAO KUNDLIKRAO JADHAV AND ANOTHER  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...  
Advocate for the Petitioner : Mr. Ghatol Patil Shahaji B.  
AGP for Respondent/State : Mr. G.A. Kulkarni

...  
**CORAM : NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI AND  
VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV, JJ.**

**DATED : 4<sup>th</sup> NOVEMBER, 2025.**

**ORDER :**

. By order dated 03.04.2014, this Court directed the respondents to enquire into the complaint lodged by the petitioners and to take appropriate remedial measures.

2. Affidavit-in-reply is filed by respondent no.5 stating that three members committee under Chairmanship of the Sub-Divisional Officer, Tahsildar and Naib Tahsildar was constituted, who inquired into the complaint of the petitioners and after hearing them order was passed on 18.06.2015. Therefore, the direction is complied with.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioners addressed on merits of the order passed by the Enquiry Committee, we are not inclined to go into the merits of the order.

4. In view of compliance of the order, contempt petition is

disposed of. Needless to state that the authorities shall take appropriate measures pursuant to the orders passed as expeditiously as possible.

(VAISHALI PATIL-JADHAV,J.)

( NITIN B. SURYAWANSHI,J.)

*sga*