



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**119 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2522 OF 2025
IN FAST/1982/2025**

THE EX ENGINEER MID LATUR NOW LMID UNDER GMIDC
AURANGABAD AND OTHERS

VERSUS

RAMLING SIDRAMAPPA BARGILE

WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2523 OF 2025 IN
FAST/1982/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2529 OF
2025 IN FAST/1985/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO.
2505 OF 2025 IN FAST/2009/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION
NO. 2530 OF 2025 IN FAST/1985/2025 WITH CIVIL
APPLICATION NO. 2526 OF 2025 IN FAST/1988/2025 WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2528 OF 2025 IN FAST/1988/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2508 OF 2025 IN
FAST/1991/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2509 OF
2025 IN FAST/1991/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO.
2524 OF 2025 IN FAST/1995/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION
NO. 2525 OF 2025 IN FAST/1995/2025 WITH CIVIL
APPLICATION NO. 2531 OF 2025 IN FAST/1999/2025 WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2532 OF 2025 IN FAST/1999/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2510 OF 2025 IN
FAST/2003/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2511 OF
2025 IN FAST/2003/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO.
2502 OF 2025 IN FAST/2006/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION
NO. 2503 OF 2025 IN FAST/2006/2025 WITH CIVIL
APPLICATION NO. 2504 OF 2025 IN FAST/2009/2025 WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2533 OF 2025 IN FAST/2012/2025
WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2534 OF 2025 IN
FAST/2012/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2506 OF
2025 IN FAST/2015/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION NO.
2507 OF 2025 IN FAST/2015/2025 WITH CIVIL APPLICATION
NO. 2500 OF 2025 IN FAST/2019/2025 WITH CIVIL
APPLICATION NO. 2501 OF 2025 IN FAST/2019/2025

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Baburao Raosaheb Survase.

Advocate for Respondents : Mr. N. D. Kendre.

...

**CORAM : SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.
DATE : 09.06.2025**

PER COURT :-

1. Heard both the sides.
2. Applicants are praying for condonation of delay of more than 600 days in preferring first appeals challenging the judgment and award passed by the Reference Court.
3. Learned counsel Mr. Survase for the applicants has pressed into service the reasons/grounds stated in paragraph Nos.4 to 6 to condone the delay. It is submitted that the delay is not deliberate or attempted to incur undue benefits.
4. Learned counsel for the claimants would oppose the submissions of the applicants. It is submitted that applicants have not deposited the amount which was condition precedent for granting ad-interim relief.
5. I have gone through the contents of applications. There is no reason to doubt bonafides of the applicants. It is desirable to condone the delay and deal with the appeals on their own merits, by adopting practical approach.
6. For the reasons stated above, civil applications for delay are allowed in terms of prayer clause "B".

7. Office shall register first appeals.

In First Appeals

8. **Admit.**

9. Call for Record and Proceedings from the concerned Court.

10. On depositing the amount, the respondents shall be disbursed 50% of the amount on furnishing usual undertaking and 25% of the balanced amount on furnishing solvent surety/security to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial).

In Civil Applications for Stay

11. Learned counsel for the applicants prays for extension of time for six (6) weeks for depositing the amount. Time is extended by six (6) weeks as a last chance. If the applicants failed to deposit the amount, ad-interim relief granted earlier shall stand vacated automatically without reference to the Court.

12. On depositing the amount, the respondents shall be disbursed 50% of the amount on furnishing usual undertaking

and 25% of the balanced amount on furnishing solvent surety/
security to the satisfaction of the Registrar (Judicial).

(SHAILESH P. BRAHME, J.)

...

vmk/-