



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

902 WRIT PETITION NO. 10892 OF 2023

CHINTAMAN NARAYAN RAUT & OTHERS

VERSUS

LATABAI BALU KHANDALE & OTHERS

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Mr.R.V.Gore
AGP for Respondent-State : Mr.K.B.Jadhavar

...

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.10891 OF 2023

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.10893 OF 2023

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.10894 OF 2023

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.10895 OF 2023

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 10896 OF 2023

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 14954 OF 2023

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 11.09.2025

P.C. :

1] The learned AGP for the respondent-State submits that revision petition is maintainable before the State in view of the judgment in the case of **Gurudassng Nawoosing Panjwani Vs. The State of Maharashtra and others** reported in 2015 [6] Mh.L.J. 915.

2] Considering the submissions made by the learned AGP, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks leave to withdraw the present Writ Petitions, with liberty to approach the State to challenge the impugned orders. He further submits that the time spent in prosecuting the present Writ Petitions may be excluded under Section 14 of the Limitation Act for computing the period of limitation in filing the Revisions before the State.

3] In view of the same, the Writ Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as aforesaid.

4] The time spent in prosecuting the present Writ Petitions would be excluded under Section 14 of the Limitation Act for computing the period of limitation in filing the Revisions proceedings before the State.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

DDC