



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

930 SECOND APPEAL NO. 236 OF 2011

Taramati Laxmanrao Dalve

VERSUS

Omprakash Rustumrao Dalve And Another

...

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. S.S. Koche h/f Mr. M N. Ghamandi

Advocate for Respondents : Mr. S.K. Chavan

...

CORAM : S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.

Dated : March 13, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. Parties to the appeal have arrived at amicable settlement and placed on record terms of the compromise, which are duly verified before the Section Officer and marked as Exhibit-X for identification. After filing of the terms of settlement, parties were relegated to the Registrar (Judicial) of this Court, who verified contents of the compromise terms and parties and submitted his report dated 10.3.2025 that parties have amicably settled the dispute and they have voluntarily signed the terms of settlement. Report of the learned Registrar (Judicial) is marked as Exhibit-Y for identification. It appears that the appellant Taramati has admitted Omprakash to be legally adopted son and absolute owner and possessor of the suit properties. Further, she is paid an amount of Rs.30 Lakh

by demand draft. Receipt of which is acknowledged by her in terms of compromise. Respondent no.2 in appeal has been deleted, however, his interest is protected in the terms of the compromise. He being purchaser from Ompakash, his rights are secured.

2. Taking into account terms of the settlement as verified by the parties and approved before the Registrar (J) of this Court, Second appeal stands **disposed of** in terms of the compromise. Decree under appeal be modified in terms of compromise. Second appeal stands **disposed of** in aforesaid terms. Civil application, if any, also stands **disposed of**.

(S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, J.)

...

aaa-