



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

31 ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO. 41 OF 2025

RAJBIR ZILAY SINGH

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. A. B. Kharosekar a/w. Mr. S. P.
Singh h/f. Mr. Ravi P. Jadhav

APP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Sonpawale

...

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 10.03.2025

P.C. :

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant has approached this Court apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.1132/2024, registered with Shrirampur Police Station, Taluka Shrirampur, District Ahmednagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 408 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3] This court by order dated 23.01.2025 granted interim protection to the applicant considering the order dated 15.01.2025, passed in ABA/4/2025 in the case of the co-accused. The learned counsel submits that the role of the

co-accused in ABA/4/2025 is higher than that of the present applicant. The learned counsel submits that the interim protection granted the co-accused / owner of the goods was confirmed vide order dated 14.02.2025. The allegation is that the owner along with the applicant had defrauded the Bank by changing the cotton bales.

4] Considering the order passed in ABA/4/2025, dated 14.02.2025, the interim order granted in this case would also stands confirmed.

5] In view of the above, the interim protection granted by order dated 23.01.2025 stands confirmed, on the following terms:

- i] The applicant shall attend the police station as and when required by the Investigating Officer.
- ii] The applicant shall not tamper with the evidence of the prosecution in any manner. He shall not influence the informant, witnesses and other persons concerned with the case.
- iii] The applicant shall co-operate with the investigation and also in the proceedings before the trial Court.

6] In the event, the applicant violates any of the conditions specified in this order, it shall be liable to be cancelled.

7] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

8] The application stands disposed of.

[ARUN R. PEDNEKER]
JUDGE

marathe