



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.39 OF 2025

Pravin Dattram Pawar

.. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.

.. Respondents

Mr. Santosh C. Bhosle, advocate for the Applicant.

Mr. S. P. Sonpawale, APP for Respondent Nos.1 and 2.

CORAM : ARUN R. PEDNEKER, J.

DATE : 05.02.2025

P.C.:-

1] Heard learned counsel for the applicant and the learned APP for the respondent-State.

2] The applicant has approached this Court apprehending arrest in connection with FIR No.0104/2024 dated 03.11.2024, registered with Barad Police Station, District Nanded, for the offences punishable under sections 103(1), 115(2), 351(2) and 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3] The allegations made against the applicant is that the applicant has assaulted the victim with kick blows and fist blows, while the other co-accused assaulted the victim by Katti on his head resulting into death of the victim. The role of the applicant cannot be separated from the co-accused. The offence is a serious one. Thus, I hold that this is not a fit case to grant relief of anticipatory bail to the applicant.

Tauseef

4] It is also clarified that the observations made in this order are limited to the disposal of the present anticipatory bail application and the trial Court shall proceed further in the matter without being influenced by the observations made hereinabove.

5] In view of the same, the application is dismissed.

(ARUN R. PEDNEKER)
JUDGE