



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

912 WRIT PETITION NO. 174 OF 2024

SHARIN MAHILA BACHAT GUT THR ITS PRESIDENT FARAKHAT KHATOON
RAUF KHAN

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR ITS SECRETARY AND ORS

Mr. N. R. Pawade, Advocate for the petitioner
Mrs. M. N. Ghanekar, AGP for the respondent/State
Mr. E. P. Savant, Advocate for respondent No.4.

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 4th APRIL, 2025

PER COURT :-

1. After hearing learned counsel for both sides, learned counsel for contesting respondent, on introductions, records consent for setting aside order impugned with liberty to relegate the matter back to the Minister for deciding the issue of limitation.

2. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for contesting respondent, impugned order is set aside. Proceeding bearing No. VAIM-1123/Case No.211/Na.Pu.21 is relegated back to the Minister for deciding the issue of limitation in filing of the revision before the Deputy Commissioner (Supply), Chhatrapati Sambhajnagar in proceeding No. 2023/SB/RP-41. It is clarified that the Minister is expected only to pass order on the issue of limitation as challenged

before him. In case the Minister holds that the delay is required to be condoned order be passed accordingly and the matter be relegated back to the Commissioner for decision on merit. Needless to say that if the contesting respondent fails to substantiate the delay, the appropriate order shall be passed in the revision.

3. Petition is disposed of.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp