Digitally signed
by RAMESH
KUMAR VATTI

2025:CGHC:6158

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WP(S) No. 4161 of 2018

- Bihari Prasad Tiwari S/o Late Shri Chandrika Prasad Tiwari, Aged About 60 Years, Presently Posted At Revenue Sub Inspector, Resident Of Sector - 7, Street No. 36, Block - I/B, Bhilai, P.S. Sector - 6, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

... Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Town And Country Planning Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisarh
2. Mayor Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
3. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector, Durg, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
5. Deputy Collector, Durg, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh
6. The Accountant Officer, Nagar Palik Nigam, Bhilai, District : Durg, Chhattisgarh

... Respondents

For Petitioner	:	None
For Respondents No. 1, 4 & 5/ State	:	Mr. Pamod Ramteke, Panel Lawyer
For Respondent No. 2	:	Mr. Parth Shrivastava, Advocate holding the brief of Mr. Aditya Tiwari, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

03/02/2025

1. The petitioner has filed this petition seeking the following relief(s):-

> "**10.1** That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to quash the impugned order dated 15.11.2017 as passed by the respondent no. 1

regarding refusing to payment of salary as on the ground of no work no pay within a period of 24.01.2017 to 03.05.2017.

10.2 That, this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct the respondent authorities to pay the salary of the petitioner within a period of 24.01.2017 to 03.05.2017 along with interest within such period.

10.3 Any other relief which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper may also be passed in favour of the petitioner together with cost of the petition."

2. On 14.06.2024 when matter was taken up for hearing no one appeared on behalf of the petitioner in two round. Today again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner in two round. It appears that the petitioner has lost his interest in pursuing the matter.

3. Consequently, the petition is **dismissed** as there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Sd/-
(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti