Digitally signed
by RAMESH
KUMAR VATTI

2025:CGHC:17521

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

MAC No. 481 of 2023

- Branch Manager, Cholamandlam M.S. General Insurance Company Limited Raigarh, Branch Office - Ground Floor, Krishna Complex, Dhimrapur Road, Raigarh At Present - 2nd Floor, Simran Tower, Pandri, Opp. L.I.C. Building, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh (Insurer Of Loader Tractor No. C.G. 13 A.N. -6249)

... Appellant

Versus

1. Hemlal S/o. Laxman Bhardwaj, Aged About 46 Years Residence Village And Post Ward No. 06, Loharsing, Tahsil And Thana - Pusaur, District - Raigarh Chhattisgarh Profession - Student (Representing Through Father Hemlal Bhardwaj
2. Smt. Budhwari, W/o. Hemlal, Aged About 40 Years Residence Village And Post Ward No. 06, Loharsing, Tahsil And Thana - Pusaur, District - Raigarh Chhattisgarh
3. Arun, S/o. Hemlal, Aged About 23 Years Residence Village And Post Ward No. 06, Loharsing, Tahsil And Thana - Pusaur, District - Raigarh Chhattisgarh
4. Minor Varun Kuamr, S/o. Hemlal, Aged About 17 Years Residence Village And Post Ward No. 06, Loharsing, Tahsil And Thana - Pusaur, District - Raigarh Chhattisgarh

   ------ Claimants
5. Shri Kumar Atri, S/o. Ratanlal Atri, Aged About 41 Years Residence - Village Saraibhaddar, Behind Balaji Rice Mill, Thana Joot Mill Chawki Raigarh, Tahsil And District Chhattisgarh Profession - Vehicle Owner, (Owner Of Loader Tractor No. CC.13 A.N. -6249)
6. Shyamji Lal, S/o. Munu Dau Sarthi, Aged About 38 Years Residence - Tarkela, Thana Joot Mill Chawki Raigarh, Tahsil And District Chhattisgarh Profession - Vehicle Driver, (Driver Of Loader Tractor No. CG.13 A.N. -6249)

... Respondents

For Appellant	:	None appeared even in two round
For Respondents No. 1 to 4	:	Mr. Deepak Kumar Singh, Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rakesh Mohan Pandey
Order on Board

16/04/2025

1. This appeal has been preferred by the Appellant/non-applicant No.3 under Section 173 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 against the award dated 25.06.2022 passed by Ist Additional Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Raigarh (C.G.) in Claim Case No. 139 of 2021.
2. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant even in two round.
3. In these circumstances, this Court is left with no other option but to dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution. Accordingly, the appeal is **dismissed** for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(Rakesh Mohan Pandey)
Judge

vatti