**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK**

**ABLAPL No. 14573 of 2025**

***1. Kedar Nayak*** …. ***Petitioners***
***2. Uttam Nayak***

Mr. T.P. Mohapatra, Advocate

*-versus-*

***State of Odisha*** …. ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S. Panda, ASC
Mr. S.G. Das, Adv.(Informant)

**ABLAPL No. 14588 of 2025**

***Sujit Nayak @ Sujit Kumar Nayak*** …. ***Petitioner***

Mr. T.P. Mohapatra, Advocate

*-versus-*

***State of Odisha*** …. ***Opposite Party***

Mr. S. Panda, ASC
Mr. S.G. Das, Adv.(Informant)

**CORAM: JUSTICE V. NARASINGH**

**ORDER**

**23.12.2025**

**Order No.**

**01.** 1. Learned counsel, Mr. S.G. Das has entered appearance on behalf of the Informant by filing vakalatnama. The same is taken on record. Name of Mr. S.G. Das, learned counsel be reflected on the file, cause list as well as Web Portal of this Court.

2. Since both the ABLAPLs relate to the same FIR, they are heard together on the consent of the parties and disposed of by this common order.

3. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners, learned counsel for the State and learned counsel for the Informant.

4. The Petitioners are seeking pre-arrest bail in connection with G.R. Case No.411 of 2025 pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Kanas, arising out of Kanas P.S. Case No.253 of 2025 for commission of offence punishable under Section 115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/126(2)/3(5)/303(2)/351(2) of BNS.

5. It is apt to note here that this is the second journey of Petitioner (Sujit Nayak @ Sujit Kumar Nayak) in ABLAPL No.14588 of 2025 to this Court.

6. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the Petitioners that taking into account the omnibus nature of allegations, the Petitioners may be protected by pre-arrest bail.

7. It is apt to note here that in case of the Petitioner in ABLAPL No.14588 of 2025 who was before this Court in ABLAPL No.12990 of 2025, this Court by order dated 18.11.2025 was not inclined to entertain his application taking into account the injury report. Paragraph-6 of the said order is extracted hereunder for convenience of reference:-

"Learned counsel for the Informant places on record the general discharge certificate of one injured, namely, Bahubalendra indicating that he suffered fracture injury which is ex facie grievous."

8. Learned counsel for the State as well as Informant oppose the prayer for pre-arrest bail.

9. Taking into account the nature of allegations, this Court is not inclined to entertain the ABLAPL No.14588 of 2025 in respect of Petitioner (Sujit Nayak @ Sujit Kumar Nayak) since there is no change in circumstances and also relating to the Petitioners (Kedar Nayak & Uttam Nayak respectively) in ABLAPL No.14573 of 2025. However, in the event the Petitioners surrender before the learned Court in seisin in the aforesaid case and move an application for their release on bail, the same shall be considered on its own merit.

10. Accordingly, the ABLAPLs stand disposed of. U.C.C. as per rules.

***(V. NARASINGH)***
***Judge***

***Ayesha***

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed
Signed by: AYESHA ROUT
Reason: Authentication
Location: High Court of Orissa, Cuttack
Date: 24-Dec-2025 18:22:46