



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ORISSA AT CUTTACK

W.P.(C) No.5029 of 2025

Eshak Khan

....

Petitioner(s)

Mr. Surya Narayan Satapathy, Adv.

-versus-

*The Divisional Railway
Manager, East Coast
Railway, Khurda Road &
Ors.*

....

Opposite Party(s)

*Mr. Dipti Ranjan Bhokta, CGC
Mr. Sudipto Panda, Adv.*

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.K. PANIGRAHI

Order

No.

ORDER

24.03.2025

04. 1. This matter is taken up through hybrid arrangement.
2. In filing this Writ Petition, the Petitioner maintaining his livelihood through the shop room in question on payment of Hawking license fee, has sought for a direction from this Court to the Opposite Parties for settling the said shop room in his name.
- Apart from the above, the Petitioner has also sought for a direction from this Court to the Opposite Parties for not demolishing the shop room in question until allotment of another shop room in his favour.
3. Heard learned counsel for the Parties.
4. Learned counsel for the Petitioner, at the outset, submits that before approaching this Court though the Petitioner on



the selfsame issue has already ventilated his grievance before the DRM/LLI, East Coast Railway, Khurda Road, Khurda vide Annexure-4, the said grievance of the Petitioner is still pending.

5. He, accordingly, prays for a direction to the DRM/LLI, East Coast Railway, Khurda Road, Khurda for considering the said grievance of the Petitioners vide Annexure-4.

6. Considering the submission made on behalf of the Petitioner, this Court without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case of the Petitioner, directs the DRM/LLI, East Coast Railway, Khurda Road, Khurda to look into the grievance of the Petitioner vide Annexure-4 and pass a lawful order in this matter within a period of seven days from the date of presentation of an authenticated copy of this order.

7. It is further directed that since the Petitioner is maintaining his livelihood through the shop room in question, the concerned Railways authority shall be considerate enough to allot any other place to him for running his business prior to demolition of his shop room in question.

8. This Writ Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

(Dr. S.K. Panigrahi)
Judge