IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
ABA No.7322 of 2025

Tuleshwar Yadav, aged about 38 years, son of Rajdev Yadav, resident of Village Kekargarh, P.O. Dwarika, P.S. Panki, District Palamu (Jharkhand) …. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand … Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Fahad Allam, APP

2/19.12.2025 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of Petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Daltonganj Town (Mahila) P.S. Case No.12 of 2025, registered under Sections 65(1), 351(2), of B.N.S., and Section 4 and 6 of POCSO Act, pending in the court of learned Exclusive Spl. Judge (POCSO), Palamau at Daltonganj.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case and there is some dispute with regard to the coaching institute, in which, the petitioner is the director. In view of that, false allegation has been made, as such, petitioner may kindly be granted anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposed the prayer and submits that there is serious allegation against the petitioner of establishing relationship forcefully with the daughter of the informant, who is minor.

5. Looking into the contents of the FIR, it transpires that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of entering into the room of

the daughter of the informant and establishing relationship forcefully, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is hereby rejected and disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

19.12.2025
R.Kumar