2025:JHHC:38612

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 7446 of 2025

Madhusudan Sinku @ Madhu, aged about 33 years, son of Tupara Kujur, resident Jikylata (Tabalasa) PO Jamdih, S Tonto,District West Singhbhum, Jharkhand .... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand .... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Avinash Prkhar, Advocate
For the State :- Mr. Someshwar Roy, Advocate

2/22.12.2025 Heard learned counsels for petitioner and for State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sunder Nagar P.S. Case No.17 of 2023, for offence registered under section 420, 379, 34 IPC, 1860, corresponding to section 318, 303(2) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023, pending in court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Jamshedpur.

3. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that now process under section 82 Cr.PC has been issued and even process under section 83 has been done and the permanent warrant has also been issued in light of Section 299 Cr.P.C.

4. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and even if the processes under sections 82 and 83 Cr.PC are there, the petitioner may kindly be provided privilege of anticipatory bail.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent State submits that in

paragraph no.67 of the case diary, it has come that the petitioner is the master-mind of the occurrence and entering into the house of the informant impersonating himself as Income Tax Officer and thereafter the articles, like gold and others have been taken away and they have fled away from the spot.

6. In view of the above and considering the allegation which is made against the petitioner of impersonating himself as Income Tax Officer and entering into the house of the informant and taking away the documents, ornaments as well as cash and further the process under sections 82 and 83 have already been issued and there is no exceptional circumstance of entertaining this anticipatory bail application after issuing the process under sections 82 and 83 as well as the permanent warrant, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence, A.B.A. No.7446 of 2025 is dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

22.12.2025
SI/